

Sat Gupta University of North Carolina - Greensboro Professor of Statistics sngupta@uncg.edu

UNCG QMS Series May 7, 2018



Outline

- Sensitive Topics
- Respondent Privacy
- Data Confidentiality
- Randomized Response Models (RRT Models)
- Full, Partial, or Optional Randomized Response Models



- Sensitive Topics
 - Drugs
 - ≻Violence
 - Sexual Behavior
 - Social Attitude
 - ≻Etc.
- Social Desirability the Main Culprit



Face-to-Face Surveys
 SDB
 Response rate very high

Online Surveys
 Self Deception



Respondent Privacy – Front-end Problem

- Sensitive topics
- Social desirability bias
- Non response or inaccurate response likely if respondent privacy is not guaranteed



Data Confidentiality – Back-end Problem

- Maintain confidentiality of record level data. Not much worry at aggregate level
- Anonymity violation
- Ethical/Legal Issues
- It is not enough to delete names/subject ID's

Medical Data Compromised

Sweeny L (2002) k-anonymity: A Model for Protecting Privacy. International Journal on Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge based Systems 10: 557-570



 Allow respondents to give scrambled response in order to protect their privacy

 Unscrambling possible at aggregate level but not at individual level

Full, Partial, Optional RRT Models

- Full RRT Models Warner (1965, 1971, JASA), Greenberg et al. (1969, 1971, JASA)
 All respondents provide scrambled response
- Partial RRT Models Mangat & Singh (1990, Biometrika)

Only some of the respondents provide scrambled response

 Optional RRT Models – Gupta et al. (2002, JSPI) The respondent decides whether to give a truthful response or a scrambled response

Model Efficiency

Amount of uncertainty in estimating the important parameters from randomized data Model Efficiency = $\frac{1}{Var(\hat{\theta})}$

Respondent Privacy Privacy Level = $E(Z - Y)^2$ Z = Scrambled Response Y = Unscrambled True Response



Warner (1965) – Indirect Questioning Model for Binary Response

Ask some respondents direct question and some indirect version of the same question randomly

Did you file a correct tax return last year?
 Did you intentionally file an incorrect last year?

Question I is asked with probability p and Question 2 with probability I-p

$$p \neq 1/2$$

$$p_y = p\pi + (1 - p)(1 - \pi)$$

$$p_y = \text{Probability of "Yes" response}$$

$$p = \text{Proportion of cards with direct question}$$

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{\frac{n_1}{n} - (1 - p)}{2p - 1}$$

 n_1 = Number of "yes" responses in a sample of size n

$$Var(\hat{\pi}) = \frac{\pi(1-\pi)}{n} + \frac{p(1-p)}{n(2p-1)^2}$$

Greenberg et al. (1969) Unrelated Question Binary Model

A proportion *p* of the respondents are asked the real question "Did you intentionally file an incorrect return last year"

Rest are asked an unrelated question like "were you born in the month of January or February"

$$p_y = p\pi + (1-p)\pi_U, \qquad \pi_U = 2/12$$

$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{\frac{n_1}{n} - (1 - p)\pi_U}{p}$$

 $Var(\hat{\pi}) = \frac{p_y(1-p_y)}{np^2}$

Warner's Additive RRT Model – Quantitative Response

Z = Y + S

Y = True Response S = Scrambling Variable (with zero mean) $\mu_Z = \mu_Y + \mu_S$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{Z}$$

 \overline{Z} = Sample Mean of scrambled responses

 $Var(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_S^2}{n}$



Quantitative Data Scrambling

- Helps with both respondent privacy and data confidentiality
- Too much scrambling or too little scrambling
- Think of two data scrambling models for variable Y.
 S is a scrambling variable and θ is a constant

Z = Y + S $Z = Y + \theta S$

- Confidentiality is higher when θ is larger
- Data quality is better when θ is smaller

• Same dilemma as in reliability vs. precision in confidence intervals

Greenberg Unrelated Question Quantitative Model (1971)

 $Z = \begin{cases} Y \text{ with probability } p \\ U \text{ with probability } (1-p) \end{cases}$

$$E(Z) = p\mu_Y + (1-p)\mu_U$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\bar{Z} - (1 - p)\mu_U}{p}$$

 $Var(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{Var(\bar{Z})}{np^2}$

Recent Applications of RRT

Ostapczuk et al. (2009): European Journal of Social Psychology

A randomized-response investigation of the education effect in attitudes towards foreigners

Spears- Gill et al. (2013): Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics,

Estimates of risky sexual behaviors among college students

Chhabra et al. (2016): North Carolina Journal of Mathematics and Statistics

Prevalence of sexual abuse of female college students by acquaintances

Thank You!

Questions/Comments